

AGENDA

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

**October 3-4, 2001
Anaheim, California**

Wednesday, October 3, 2001

**11:00 a.m. Tour of Orange County Transportation Projects
Leaving From:**

Disney's Grand Californian Hotel
Lobby
1600 South Disneyland Drive
Anaheim, California

**1:30 p.m. Public Transit Committee Meeting
(See Separate Agenda)**

Anaheim City Hall
City Council Chambers
200 South Anaheim Boulevard
Anaheim, California

**2:30 p.m.
or Immediately Following
Prior Committee Meeting**

Commission Meeting

Anaheim City Hall
City Council Chambers
200 South Anaheim Boulevard
Anaheim, California

5:30 p.m. Reception with Orange County Transportation Authority

Disney's Grand Californian Hotel
Trillium Room
1600 South Disneyland Drive
Anaheim, California

7:00 p.m. Commissioners' Dinner

Disney's Grand Californian Hotel
Napa Rose Dining Room
1600 South Disneyland Drive
Anaheim, California

Thursday, October 4, 2001

8:00 a.m. Tour of California Adventure Leaving From:

Disney's Grand Californian Hotel
Lobby
1600 South Disneyland Drive
Anaheim, California

9:30 a.m. Commission Meeting

Anaheim City Hall
City Council Chambers
200 South Anaheim Boulevard
Anaheim, California

NOTICE: Agenda items, other than those assigned a specific time and date, may be taken up on either day of the two-day Commission meeting.

* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (B,T & H) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

1. GENERAL MATTERS

		Status*	
		A	C
1.(1)	Approval of Minutes for August 22-23, 2001 Meeting		
1.(2)	Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation	A	C
1.(3)	Information Calendar	I	C
1.(4)	Consent Calendar	A	C
1.(5)	Commission Reports	A	C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction of New Executive Director • Adoption of 2002 Meeting Schedule • Public Transit Committee Report 		
1.(6)	Business, Transportation and Housing Agency Report	I	B
1.(7)	Caltrans Report	I	D
1.(8)	Regional Agencies Report	I	R
1.(9)	California Transportation Foundation Report	I	C
1.(10)	Presentation on Orange County Local Transportation Projects	I	R

2. PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS

2.1	Program Amendments/Project Approvals		
2.1a.	STIP Amendment for Action		
2.1a.(1)	STIP Amendment 00S-051 – Action	A	D
	Programs \$1,200,000 from Los Angeles County's Unprogrammed Share Balance in FY 2002-03 for a soundwall project on Route 210 (previously defined in statutes as Route 30) in Los Angeles County. The Department and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) are requesting this amendment.		

- 2.1a.(2)** STIP Amendment 00S-052 – Action **A D**
Adds \$277,000 from Sierra County's Unprogrammed Share Balance in FY 2001-02 to two existing projects: \$212,000 for construction of an interpretive center in Downieville; and \$65,000 for design and right-of-way for a visitor center in Sierra City. The Sierra County Transportation Commission is requesting this amendment.
- 2.1b.** STIP Amendments for Notice
- 2.1b.(1)** STIP Amendment 00S-053 – Notice **I D**
Programs \$2,480,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds from Riverside County's Unprogrammed Share Balance for two new local road projects in FY 2002-03; \$638,000 to rehabilitate Pierson Boulevard; and \$1,842,000 to widen the roadway and bridge on Gene Autry Trail. The Riverside County Transportation Commission is requesting this amendment.
- 2.1b.(2)** STIP Amendment 00S-054 – Notice **I D**
Programs \$1,075,000 from El Dorado County's Unprogrammed Share Balance in FY 2001-02 for the environmental phase (PA&ED) of an interchange improvements project in Placerville on Route 50. The El Dorado County Transportation Commission is requesting this amendment.
- 2.1b.(3)** STIP Amendment 00S-055 – Notice **I D**
Adds \$500,000 from San Luis Obispo County's Unprogrammed Share Balance in FY 2001-02 for the design component of an existing truck climbing lane project on Route 41 in San Luis Obispo and Kern Counties. The San Luis Obispo Council of Governments is requesting this amendment.
- 2.1b.(4)** STIP Amendment 00S-056 – Notice **I D**
Programs \$486,000 from Humboldt County's Unprogrammed Share Balance in FY 2003-04 for a new project on Waterfront Drive in the City of Eureka. The Eureka Non-Freeway Alternative Project Fund will contribute \$3,882,000 to this project. The Humboldt County Association of Governments (HCAG) is requesting this amendment. (See related Item 4.8)
- 2.1b.(5)** STIP Amendment 00S-057 - Notice **I D**
Programs \$14,000,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds from Los Angeles County's Unprogrammed Share Balance and \$35,430,000, as an Advance of Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funds, to two existing programmed projects to construct a Grade Separation on the Pacific Coast Highway (State Route 1) in Los Angeles County. These funds are for cost increases attributed to the expansion of the scope to construct an extended grade separation at Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority (ACTA)'s main tracks, Alameda Street and San Pedro branch spur track just west of Alameda Street. The combined total cost of the two projects is \$116,162,000. In addition, this amendment adjusts funding between the two existing projects and transfers the responsible agency for the design and construction of the overall project from the City of Los Angeles to ACTA, with the Department retaining responsibility for the Right of Way activities. The Department, Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority (ACTA), the City of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) are requesting this amendment.

2.1c. Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments**2.1c.(1) Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals** **A C/D**
Five Applications totaling \$14,015,000
Resolution TA-01-15

- Project #9.3 - \$1,750,000, Phases 1, 2 for Capitol Corridor; improve intercity rail line between Oakland and San Jose, and at Jack London Square and Emeryville stations in Alameda and Santa Clara Counties – Jack London Square Station Platform and Track Improvements.
Applicant Agency: Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority (CCJPA)
Implementing Agency: CCJPA
- Project #81 - \$400,000, Phases 1, 2 for San Diego Ferry; acquire low-emission high-speed ferryboat for new off-coast service between San Diego and Oceanside in San Diego County.
Applicant Agency: San Diego Unified Port District (SDUPD)
Implementing Agency: SDUPD
Advance Requested: \$400,000
- Project #108 - \$6,765,000, Phases 2, 3, 4 for Route 5: add northbound lane to freeway through Mossdale "Y", Route 205 to Route 120 in San Joaquin County.
Applicant Agency: California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)
Implementing Agency: Caltrans
- Project #116 - \$4,000,000, Phase 2 for Route 80 Light Rail Corridor; double-track Route 80 light rail line for express service in Sacramento County.
Applicant Agency: Sacramento Regional Transit District (SacRT)
Implementing Agency: SacRT
- Project #148.2 - \$1,100,000, Phase 4 for Route 98; widening of eight miles between Route 111 and Route 7 from two lanes to four lanes.
Applicant Agency: California Department of Transportation
Implementing Agency: City of Calexico

2.1c.(2) Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval **A C/D**
Four Applications; No Additional Funding
Resolution TAA-01- 07, Amending Resolutions TA-01-08, TAA-01-01, and TAA-01-04
(See Also Public Transit Committee Agenda Item 1.)

- Project #32.3 – North Coast Railroad; Sub-paragraph (c) completion of rail line from Willits to Arcata. Amend application to update project schedule. No other changes to TCR application approval of \$1,000,000 under Resolution TAA-01-04. North Coast Railroad Authority is requesting this amendment.
- Project #32.4 – North Coast Railroad; Sub-paragraph (d) upgrade rail line to class II or II standards. Amend application to update project schedule. No other changes to TCR application approval of \$5,000,000 under Resolution TA-01-08. North Coast Railroad Authority is requesting this amendment.

2.1c.(2) Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval (Continued)

- Project #32.5 – North Coast Railroad; Sub-paragraph (e) environmental remediation projects. Amend application to update project schedule. No other changes to TCR application approval of \$100,000 under Resolution TAA-01-01. North Coast Railroad Authority is requesting this amendment.
- Project #32.9 – North Coast Railroad; Sub-paragraph (i) long term stabilization projects. Amend application to update project schedule. No other changes to TCR application approval of \$31,000,000 under Resolution TA-01-08. North Coast Railroad Authority is requesting this amendment.

2.1c.(3) Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval **A C/D**
 One Application; No Additional Funding
 Resolution TAA-01-08, Amending Resolution TA-01-01
 (See Also Public Transit Committee Agenda Item1.)

- Project #32.6 – North Coast Railroad; Sub-paragraph (f) debt reduction. Amend application to update project schedule and modify debtor list. No other changes to TCR application approval of \$10,000,000 under Resolution TA-00-01. North Coast Railroad Authority is requesting this amendment.

2.1c.(4) Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approvals **A C/D**
 One Application; No Additional Funding
 Resolution TAA-01-09, Amending Resolution TAA-01-06

- Project #11 - San Francisco Bay Southern Crossing; complete feasibility and financial studies for new San Francisco Bay crossing (new bridge, HOV/Transit bridge or second BART tube) in Alameda and San Francisco or San Mateo Counties. Amend application to update project schedule for the Bay Crossing Study element of the overall project. No other changes to original TCR application approval of \$3,200,000 under Resolution TAA-01-06. Metropolitan Transportation Commission is requesting this amendment.

2.1d. Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments – **No Items This Month****2.1e.** Proposition 116 – Rail Project Approvals/Amendments
2.1e.(1) Project Approval Amendment to the Department of Transportation **A C**
 to reduce original application of \$5,000,000 by \$519,303 due to cost savings, for a revised application amount of \$4,480,697 for the High Speed Rail Preliminary Engineering Feasibility Study. (Concurrent Project Approval under Item 2.1e.(2) and concurrent Allocation under Item 2.6b.(2).)
 Resolution PA-01-____, Amending Resolution PA-93-13

2.1e.(2) Project Approval for \$519,303 to the California High Speed Rail **A C**
 Authority for the Los Angeles-to-Bakersfield EIR/EIS project.
 (Concurrent Project Approval Amendment under Item 2.1e.(1) and concurrent Allocation under Item 2.6b.(2).)
 Resolution PA-01-____

2.2 Environmental Matters

- | | | | |
|-----------------|---|----------|----------|
| 2.2a. | Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) | A | D |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 1 in Monterey County – Construct operational improvements on portions of the highway between Carmel Valley Road and the Route 1/68 Interchange in the City of Carmel-By-The-Sea | | |
| 2.2b. | Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIS/EIR) | | |
| 2.2b.(1) | Route 905 in San Diego County – Construct a six-lane divided highway between Interstate 805 and Airway Road in the City of San Diego. | A | D |
| 2.2b.(2) | Route 22 in Orange County- West Orange County Connection (WOCC) Project in the cities of Garden Grove, Los Alamitos, Santa Ana, Seal Beach, Orange, Westminster and the unincorporated community of Rossmoor. | A | D |
| 2.2b.(3) | Bay Area Rapid Transit District Oakland International Airport Connector (EIS/EIR). | A | C |
| 2.2c. | Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR) | | |
| 2.2c.(1) | Routes 5 and 126 in Los Angeles County – Consideration of future funding for a project to reconfigure the northerly Route 5/126 Interchange in the City of Santa Clarita (ND).
Resolution E-02-09 | A | D |
| 2.2c.(2) | Route 99 in Stanislaus County – Consideration of future funding for a project to replace the Whitmore Avenue Overcrossing and modify the interchange in the City of Ceres (ND).
Resolution E-02-10 | A | D |
| 2.2c.(3) | Route 70 in Plumas County – Consideration of future funding for a project to rehabilitate the highway between Purdy Lane and Clough Street in Quincy (ND).
Resolution E-02-11 | A | D |
| 2.2c.(4) | Route 41 in Kings County – Consideration of future funding for a project to rehabilitate the highway at one location and raise the grade to prevent flooding at a second location near Kettleman City (ND).
Resolution E-02-12 | A | D |
| 2.2c.(5) | Route 99 in Merced County – Consideration of future funding for a project to convert the 4-lane expressway to a 6-lane freeway and construct an interchange at Mission Avenue in Merced (ND).
Resolution E-02-13 | A | D |
| 2.2c.(6) | Rancho Bernardo Bus Rapid Transit Station Project (San Diego County) – Consideration for future funding for a Bus Rapid Transit Station (ND) (TCRP #83).
Resolution E-02-14 | A | C |

- 2.2c.(7)** Regional Express Bus Program – San Mateo County Transit District
– Consideration for future funding for a Regional Express Bus Program (ND) (TCRP #10).
Resolution E-02-15 **A C**
- 2.2c.(8)** Oceanside-Escondido Rail Project (San Diego County) –
Consideration for future funding for improvements to existing
railroad right-of-way between Oceanside and Escondido for
New Passenger Rail Service, Stations and Maintenance Facility
(ND) (TCRP #79).
Resolution E-02-16 **A C**
- 2.3** Highway Route Matters
- 2.3a.** Route Adoptions **A D**
- Adoption of Otay Mesa Road, as a traversable highway for that portion of Route 905 in the City of San Diego, in the County of San Diego, from Kilometer Post 10.5 (Post Mile 6.5) at 2.2 kilometers (1.4 miles) east of Route 805 to 1.9 kilometers (1.2 miles) west of the Otay Mesa Port of Entry.
Resolution HRA-02-01
- 2.3b.** New Public Road Connections – **No Items This Month**
- 2.3c.** Five Relinquishment Resolutions **A D**
- 03-Sac-160-PM 35.0/44.5 Route 160 in the City of Sacramento
Resolution R-3497
 - 04-Sol-80-PM 16.2 Route 80 in the City of Fairfield
Resolution R-3498
 - 04-SM-101-PM 0.9 Route 101 in the City of East Palo Alto
Resolution R-3499
 - 07-LA-91-PM 0.0/0.9 Route 91 in the City of Manhattan Beach
Resolution R-3500
 - 07-LA-91-PM 0.0/0.2 Route 91 in the City of Hermosa Beach
Resolution R-3501
- 2.3d.** Vacation Resolutions – **No Items This Month**
- 2.4** Highway Right of Way Matters
- 2.4a.** Resolutions of Necessity – Appearances – **No Items This Month**

- | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|---------------|---|-------------------|---|---|---|----------|---|--------|--|
| 2.4b. | 12 Resolutions of Necessity
Resolutions C-18349 Through C-18353 and C-18359 Through C-18360
and C-18362 Through C-18366 | A D | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.4c. | Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations – <u>No Items This Month</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.4d. | Nine Director's Deeds | A D | | | | | | | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Items #1 Through 9 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$1,091,972 Return to Others: \$0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5 | Highway Financial Matters | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <table border="0"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">\$247,599,050</td> <td>Total State Funds for New Projects</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>11,685,047</u></td> <td>Contributions from Other Sources for New Projects</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">\$259,284,097</td> <td>Grand Total for New Projects with State Participation</td> </tr> </table> | \$247,599,050 | Total State Funds for New Projects | <u>11,685,047</u> | Contributions from Other Sources for New Projects | \$259,284,097 | Grand Total for New Projects with State Participation | | | | |
| \$247,599,050 | Total State Funds for New Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>11,685,047</u> | Contributions from Other Sources for New Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| \$259,284,097 | Grand Total for New Projects with State Participation | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5a. | Financial Allocation for 15 Minor Projects totaling \$7,377,370.
Resolution FP-01-21 | A D | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5b. | Financial Allocation for STIP/SHOPP Projects on the State Highway System | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <table border="0"> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">2.5b.(1)</td> <td>One STIP Projects totaling \$540,000 plus \$1,034,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-01-22</td> <td style="vertical-align: top; text-align: right;">A D</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">2.5b.(2)</td> <td>Five SHOPP Projects totaling \$202,456,000 Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental Action.
Resolution FP-01-23</td> <td style="vertical-align: top; text-align: right;">A D</td> </tr> </table> | 2.5b.(1) | One STIP Projects totaling \$540,000 plus \$1,034,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-01-22 | A D | 2.5b.(2) | Five SHOPP Projects totaling \$202,456,000 Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental Action.
Resolution FP-01-23 | A D | | | | |
| 2.5b.(1) | One STIP Projects totaling \$540,000 plus \$1,034,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-01-22 | A D | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5b.(2) | Five SHOPP Projects totaling \$202,456,000 Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental Action.
Resolution FP-01-23 | A D | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5c. | Financial Allocation for Local Assistance STIP/TEA/EEM Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <table border="0"> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">2.5c.(1)</td> <td>Five Local Assistance STIP Projects Off the State Highway System totaling \$3,597,000 plus \$2,697,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-01-24</td> <td style="vertical-align: top; text-align: right;">A D</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">2.5c.(2)</td> <td>Three Local Assistance STIP Project On the State Highway System totaling \$31,700,000 plus \$5,213,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-01-25</td> <td style="vertical-align: top; text-align: right;">A D</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">2.5c.(3)</td> <td>Eight Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Projects totaling \$1,928,680 plus \$2,741,047 from other sources.
Resolution FP-01-26</td> <td style="vertical-align: top; text-align: right;">A D</td> </tr> </table> | 2.5c.(1) | Five Local Assistance STIP Projects Off the State Highway System totaling \$3,597,000 plus \$2,697,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-01-24 | A D | 2.5c.(2) | Three Local Assistance STIP Project On the State Highway System totaling \$31,700,000 plus \$5,213,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-01-25 | A D | 2.5c.(3) | Eight Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Projects totaling \$1,928,680 plus \$2,741,047 from other sources.
Resolution FP-01-26 | A D | |
| 2.5c.(1) | Five Local Assistance STIP Projects Off the State Highway System totaling \$3,597,000 plus \$2,697,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-01-24 | A D | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5c.(2) | Three Local Assistance STIP Project On the State Highway System totaling \$31,700,000 plus \$5,213,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-01-25 | A D | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5c.(3) | Eight Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Projects totaling \$1,928,680 plus \$2,741,047 from other sources.
Resolution FP-01-26 | A D | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5d. | Financial Allocation for SHOPP Projects with Cost Increases Greater than 120 Percent – <u>No Items This Month</u> | | | | | | | | | | |

- | | | | |
|---|--|----------|----------|
| 2.5e. | Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects
Six Projects totaling \$6,533,213.
Resolution FA-01-03 | A | D |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 03-Sac-99 – SHOPP Project in Sacramento County on Route 99, in Sacramento, from just south of Mack Road to Martin Luther King Junior Boulevard Overcrossing. Original vote \$10,800,000. Current allocation \$10,620,000. This request of \$2,900,000 for an ongoing project, for an increase of 27% over the award amount. • 03-Sac-51 – SHOPP Project in Sacramento County on Route 51, in Sacramento, at 15th Street and 16th Street between W Street and X Street. Original vote \$888,000. Current allocation \$888,000. This request of \$358,000 to award project, for an increase of 40% over the vote amount. • 04-Ala-13, 580 – SHOPP Project on Route 13 and Route 580 in Alameda County, in Oakland, at Broadway Terrace Boulevard Undercrossing and Kerrer Avenue Overcrossing. Original vote \$293,000. Current allocation \$293,000. This request of \$253,000 to award project, for an increase of 86% over the vote amount. • 10-SJ-120 – SHOPP Project in Stanislaus County, in Escalon, on Route 120 from the Santa Fe Railroad crossing to San Joaquin Street. Original vote \$1,500,000. Current allocation \$1,850,000. This request of \$1,900,000 for an ongoing project, for an increase of 250% over the vote amount. • 12-Ora-5, 91 – SHOPP Project in Orange County at various locations along Route 5 and Route 91. Original vote \$3,300,000. Current allocation \$3,716,700. This request of \$426,683 for an ongoing project, for an increase of 30% over the award amount. • 12-Ora-57 – SHOPP Project in Orange County, in Orange, Placentia, Brea and Fullerton, between Route 5 and the Los Angeles County Line. Original vote \$3,460,000. Current allocation \$4,006,000. This request of \$695,530 for an ongoing project, for an increase of 36% over the vote amount. | | | |
| 2.5f. Information Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority | | | |
| 2.5f.(1) | Emergency: G-11 Allocations
Nine Projects totaling \$7,852,000 | I | D |
| 2.5f.(2) | Seismic Retrofit: (Proposition 192): G-11 Allocations – <u>No Items This Month</u> | | |
| 2.5f.(3) | SHOPP Rehabilitation/Safety: G-01-01 Allocations
Three Projects totaling \$8,584,000. | I | D |
| 2.5f.(4) | Local Assistance Allocation for Local Street and Road
Pavement Rehabilitation: G-01-04 Allocations
15 Projects totaling \$5,085,000. | I | D |

- | | | | |
|-----------------|--|----------|----------|
| 2.5f.(5) | Local Assistance Allocation for Project Development Components of Local Grant Projects: G-01-03 Allocations
Six Projects totaling \$540,000 | I | D |
| 2.5f.(6) | Local Assistance Allocation for Rideshare Projects in the STIP: G-01-07 Allocations
One Project for \$719,000 | I | D |
| 2.5f.(7) | Local Assistance Allocation for RSTP/CMAQ Match Reserve Projects: G-01-05 Allocations
Nine Projects totaling \$258,000 | I | D |
| 2.5f.(8) | Local Assistance Allocation for Planning, Programming and Monitoring Activities: G-01-06 Allocations
Nine Projects totaling \$1,647,000. | I | D |

2.6 Mass Transportation Financial Matters

\$	0	Total Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP)
	2,823,000	Total State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)
	4,255,303	Total Proposition 116 Program Funds
	(0)	Total Allocation Amendments
\$	7,078,303	Grand Total State Allocations

- | | | | |
|--------------|---|----------|----------|
| 2.6a. | Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit Projects
Three Local STIP Rail/Transit Projects totaling \$2,823,000.
Resolution MFP-01-06 | A | D |
|--------------|---|----------|----------|

2.6b. Financial Allocation for Proposition 116 Program Projects

- | | | | |
|-----------------|---|----------|----------|
| 2.6b.(1) | One Local Proposition 116 Program Project for the City of South Lake Tahoe Park Avenue Intermodal Transit Station for \$3,736,000.
Resolution BFP 01-01 | A | D |
| 2.6b.(2) | One State Proposition 116 High Speed Rail Program Project for the Los Angeles-to-Bakersfield EIR/EIS for \$519,303. (See concurrent Project Approvals/Amendment under Items 2.1e.(1) and 2.1e.(2).)
Resolution BFP-01-02 | | |
| 2.6b.(3) | Local Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Project – <u>No Items This Month</u> | | |

2.6c. Proposition 116 Allocation Amendments

- | | | | |
|----------|---|----------|----------|
| • | <u>Town of Tiburon</u> – Ferry Dock Access and Safety Improvements – PPNO: 04-8033, EA: T9596B. Scope Change Only. Amend Resolution BFP-00-09 to reduce the number of work elements for this project from five to two. The \$675,000 of Proposition 116 Bond funds to the Town of Tiburon will be used to construct the new ferry plaza and to make improvements to the ferry arcade. This amendment deletes the Fountain Plaza, bus stop and pedestrian access elements from the project.
Resolution BFA-01-02, Amending Resolution BFP-00-09 | A | D |
|----------|---|----------|----------|

- 2.6d. Transit Capital Improvement (TCI) Project Allocation Amendment –
No Items This Month
- 2.6e. Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations – **No Items This Month**
- 2.6f. Informational Report on Delegated Allocations for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Projects, per Resolution G-00-23.
Eight Project Allocations totaling \$168,998,000 I D
- 2.7 Aeronautics Financial Matters
- Allocation of \$322,000 for FY 2001-02 California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) funds for three projects from the 2000 Aeronautics Program. Resolution FDOA-2001-8 A D
 - County of Siskiyou – Weed Airport in Siskiyou County
\$111,000 – Slurry seal runway and taxiway
 - County of Mendocino – Round Valley Airport in Mendocino County
\$107,000 – Overlay runway, taxiway, and apron
 - County of El Dorado –Georgetown Airport in El Dorado County
\$104,000 – Overlay runway
- 2.8 Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-00-20, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests
- 2.8a. Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation – **No Items This Month**
- 2.8b. Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award
- Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for 2 local STIP Projects totaling \$5,021,000
Waiver-01-50 A D
- 2.8c. Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion – **No Items This Month**
- 2.8d. Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement – **No Items This Month**
- 2.8e. Request for Waiver to Resolution G-99-25, Commission's Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring, and Auditing of Funds for Local Assistance Projects –
No Items This Month

2.9 Technical Changes to Previously Approved Resolutions/Actions

- | | | | |
|--------------|---|----------|----------|
| 2.9a. | Resolution FP-98-88, originally approved on June 8, 1999 for \$1,433,631 in Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Program funds for seven projects. Revise applicant status for Project # 1 – Spano River Ranch, from The Trust for Public Land to Wildlife Conservation Board. | A | D |
| 2.9b. | Resolution FP-01-14, originally approved August 23, 2001 for \$3,604,000 Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Program funds for eight projects. Revise applicant status for Project #5 – Hollister Peak Ranch South Parcel Acquisition project from Department of Parks and Recreation to Department of Fish and Game. | A | D |
| 2.9c. | Pasadena Metro Blue Line Construction Authority, originally approved on March 28, 2001 for the sale of rail bond-funded properties to joint developers and use of resulting revenues to complete the Pasadena Metro Blue Line Light Rail Project. Revise book item to reflect the property at the Del Mar Station site is composed of two parcels (PA-140 and PA-141), as indicated in the Authority's report, and to assign a G Resolution Number (Resolution G-01-29) for tracking purposes. PMBLCA is negotiating an agreement for the airspace rights over the tracks for the purpose of dwellings and pedestrian walkways. | A | D |

3. PROGRAM STATUS

- | | | | |
|------------|--|----------|----------|
| 3.1 | Caltrans Monthly FY 2001-02 Finance Report | I | D |
| | • Update on Cash Balance Forecast | | |
| 3.2 | Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded | I | D |
| 3.3 | Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action | I | D |
| 3.4 | Caltrans FY 2000-01 4 th Quarter/Year-End Report | I | D |
| 3.5 | Local Assistance Quarterly Unobligated RSTP, CMAQ, and Regional TEA Balances | I | D |
| 3.6 | Local Assistance Quarterly Lump Sum Allocation Status Report | I | D |

4. POLICY MATTERS

- | | | | |
|------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| 4.1 | State Legislative Matters* | | |
| | • Status of Bills | A | C |

*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Chuck Oldham, Deputy Director for Policy and Legislation, (916) 653-2068.

- | | | | |
|--------------|---|----------|------------|
| 4.2 | Outline for Commission's 2001 Annual Report to Legislature | A | C |
| 4.3 | 2002 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) | | |
| 4.3a. | Identification of Partially Programmed Projects and Estimated Residual Funding Required to Fully Program | I | D |
| 4.3b. | Tracking Amounts Programmed and Expended for Project Support on Individual Projects | I | D |
| 4.4 | Informational Report on Treatment of Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP) Delegated Major/Minor Amendments Under Resolution G-01-23 | I | C/D |
| 4.5 | Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) Program | | |
| 4.5a. | Adoption of Extension Requirements for TEA Program Use-It-Or-Lose-It Provisions
Resolution G-01-____ | A | C |
| 4.5b. | Report on Plans for Initiating an Advisory Committee to Consider Reforms of California's TEA Program | I | D |
| 4.6 | Adoption of Guidelines for FY 2001-02 Rural Transit System Grant Program
Resolution G-01-____ | A | C |
| 4.7 | California Aviation System Plan (CASP) Policy Element Update | I | D |
| 4.8 | Eureka Non-Freeway Alternative Project Funding Policy
(See related Item 2.1b.(4).)
Resolution G-01-____ | A | D |
| 4.9 | Proposed Annual \$4.5 Million Allocation Set-Aside for the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) Railroad Grade Crossing Protection Maintenance Program for FY 2002-03.
Resolution G-01-____ | A | C |

5. MODAL ISSUES

- | | | | |
|--------------|---|----------|----------|
| 5.1 | Rail | | |
| 5.1a. | Update Status and Outlook for Orange County Transportation Authority and City of Irvine CenterLine Fixed Guideway Transit Project | I | R |
| 5.1b. | Presentation by Metrolink on Del Mar Station Joint Development Project | I | R |

5.2 Highways

I D/R

- I-710 Gateways Major Investment Study

5.3 Transit – **No Items This Month**

6. OTHER MATTERS